IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARTHA MITCHELL, : CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, :

:

v. : NO. 17-1806

ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, :

Defendant. :

NOTICE OF RULE 16 CONFERENCE

Filed: May 11, 2017

A telephonic Rule 16 conference in the above matter has been ordered by Judge Lawrence F. Stengel on Friday, June 16, 2017 at 10:30 a.m. Plaintiff's counsel will initiate the call with opposing counsel and with Judge Stengel at 267-299-7760. The purposes of the conference are set forth in Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In preparation for this conference, the parties' Rule 26(f) Conference shall be held on or before May 26, 2017. Initial disclosures pursuant to Rule 26(a) shall be completed no later than June 9, 2017.

At the Rule 16 Conference, counsel should be prepared to address all topics listed in Local Rule of Civil Procedure 16.1(b) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) and (c). Counsel should also be prepared to discuss the strengths and weaknesses of the case, and therefore should be completely conversant in the essential issues and facts of the case. Counsel must have full authority to negotiate or settle the case or have a client representative available who has such authority.

It is also expected that the parties will reach an agreement on how to conduct electronic discovery. The parties shall discuss the parameters of their anticipated e-discovery at the Rule 26(f) Conference and shall be prepared to address e-discovery at the Rule 16 Conference with the court. In the event the parties cannot reach such an agreement before the Rule 16 Conference, the court will enter an Order incorporating default standards.

Counsel are to complete and submit the attached Joint Status Report. All reports are to be sent to the Philadelphia chambers at least three (3) days before the Rule 16 Conference. Facsimile transmission is permitted. Email transmission is also permitted. See bottom of report for addresses and fax number. This report shall NOT be filed with the Clerk of Court. Counsel are directed to comply with Judge Stengel's Policies and Procedures on the Eastern District of Pennsylvania's website: www.paed.uscourts.gov.

Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Order may result in sanctions, including penalties assessed against the offending counsel, and in the appropriate case, dismissal of the action or default against the defendant(s), pursuant to Rule 37(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Continuances of this conference shall be granted only in extreme circumstances. If you are unable to participate, someone from your office as familiar as possible with this case should participate.

Finally, counsel will be expected to have discussed with their clients the possibility of electing to proceed before a Magistrate Judge for final disposition in this case. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Local Rule of Civil Procedure 72.1,

Case 5:17-cv-01806-LS Document 6 Filed 05/11/17 Page 3 of 5

Magistrate Judges are authorized, with agreement of the parties, to try any civil case, jury or non-jury, with appeals going directly to the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. In addition, Magistrate Judges typically can provide a firm trial date which would not superseded by a criminal case with a Speedy Trial deadline.

FOR THE COURT:

/s/ Sharon Lippi

Sharon Lippi Civil Deputy to Judge Lawrence F. Stengel

Joint Status Report Pursuant to Rule 26(f)

Caption:			
Civil A	ction No:		
Basis of Jurisdict	ion:		
Jury Trial:	Non-Jury	Trial:	Arbitration:
Plaintiff's counsel	participating in the F	ule 16 Conference:	
Defendant's coun	sel participating in the	e Rule 16 Conference	:
Do counsel have	full authority to settle	at Rule 16 Conferen	ce?
If not, clie	nt with such authority	who will attend confe	erence:
When did the par	ties hold the Rule 26	Conference?	
When did the par	ties comply with Rule	26(a)'s duty of self-	executing disclosure?
Does either side	expect to file a case-	dispositive motion?	(yes/no)
If yes, und	der what Rule		
If yes, spe	ecify the issue		
Proposed	deadline for filing disp	positive motions:	
Does either side	anticipate the use of	experts?	
If yes, wh	at is the proposed de	adline for expert disco	overy?
Approximate date	case should be trial-	ready:	
Time for F	Plaintiff's case:	Time for D	efendant's case:
Is a settlement co	onference likely to be	helpful?	If so, when:
Early	(yes/no)	After Discovery _	(yes/no)
What is the outcome	me of your discussions	with your clients abou	ut proceeding before a Magistrate
Judge for final di	sposition?		

Plan	for	Discovery:
ııaıı	101	DISCOVEIV.

1.	The parties anticipate that discovery should be completed withindays.
2.	What is the minimum amount of time necessary to complete discovery prior to an ADR session, should one be ordered or agreed to?
3.	Have the parties discussed issues relating to claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, as required by Rule 26(f)(3)(D)?
4.	Identify any other discovery issues which should be addressed at the Rule 16 Conference, including limitations on discovery, protective Orders needed, or other elements which should be included in a particularized discovery plan.
5.	If you contend the discovery period should exceed 90 days, please state reason:

This form should be faxed to Chambers at 267-299-5068;

Emailed to chambers at chambers_of_judge_stengel@paed.uscourts.gov or mailed to Chambers, or hand-delivered to Chambers at 14613 U.S. Courthouse, 601 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106